IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2621 OF 2006

Smt. S.U. Mhatre & 5 Ors. ....Petitioners.

V/s

The State of Maharashtra & 11 Ors. ...Respondents.

Shri S.M. Oak for the petitioners.

Shri V.P. Malvankar, A.G.P for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

Shri S.R. Atre for the respondent No.12.

CORAM: R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR &
V. M. KANADE, JJ.

DATE : 14th September, 2006

P.C.:

1. The learned advocate for respondent No.12 states that Seniority List, consequent to the impugned order, has now been finalised and published. The fact that the Seniority List has been finalised and published is not disputed by the petitioners.

2. In the circumstances, we do not find it justifiable to interfere with the impugned order. However, we leave all

the issues open for consideration by the Competent Authority, in case the petitioners or any aggrieved person challenges the Seniority List which has been finalised and published. The Competent Authority shall decide all such issues without being in any way influenced by any of the observations made by the Tribunal in the impugned Order and in accordance with the provisions of law.

3. It is also undisputed fact that the said Seniority List, though finalised, has not been acted upon and in such circumstances parties to maintain status quo for a period of three weeks.

4. With these observations, Petition stands disposed of .

(R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J.)

(V. M. KANADE, J.)